UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,910	09/06/2003	David A. Frazer	906-03080601	7676

25864 7590 06/28/2005

CHARLES C.H. WU
98 DISCOVERY
IRVINE, CA 92618-3105

EXAMINER	
CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2676	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/655,910	FRAZER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory F. Cunningham	2676	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *06 September 2003*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-14* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-14* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *06 September 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications of application received 9/6/2003.

2. The disposition of the claims is as follows: claims 1 - 14 are pending in the application. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims.

3. The group and/or Art Unit location of your application has changed. To aid in the correlation of any papers for this application, all further correspondence should be directed to Group Art Unit 2676 (effective 6/05). Please be sure to use the most current art unit number on all correspondence to help us route your case and respond to you in a timely fashion.

4. When making claim amendments, the applicant is encouraged to consider the references in their entireties, including those portions that have not been cited by the examiner and their equivalents as they may most broadly and appropriately apply to any particular anticipated claim amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrington (US Patent 5,581,376 A), further in view of Wakasugi (US Patent 6,157,937), and further in view of Loewenthal et al. (US Patent 5,712,922 A), hereinafter Loewenthal.

A. Claim 1, "A method for converting an input image with a plurality of pixels to an output image using an N-dimensional conversion table with a plurality of nodes, the method comprising the steps of [Harrington: abstract; col. 1, lns. 41-62; and col. 3, lns. 39-63; and col. 6, lns. 46-52; and Wakasugi: col. 5, lns. 26-64]:

storing odd-indexed nodes and even-indexed nodes on separate RAMS for each dimension of the conversion table;

retrieving for each pixel a set of output color values corresponding to nodes adjacent to the pixel in the conversion table [Harrington: col. 3, ln. 39 – col. 4, ln. 20; and also Wakasugi: col. 1, lns. 14-39]; and

interpolating within each set of output color values to produce the output image [Harrington: col. 2, lns. 18-47; and also Wakasugi: col. 3, ln. 28 – 67 and col. 5, lns. 26-64]" is disclosed by Harrington [as detailed].

However Harrington and Wakasugi do not appear to disclose "storing odd-indexed nodes and even-indexed nodes on separate RAMS for each dimension of the conversion table", but Wakasugi does teach both the even table and odd table are in memory such as RAM or ROM at col. 8, lns. 34-45 (see Fig. 10 for three-dimensional interpolation); and Loewenthal furthermore demonstrates in col. 11, lns. 6-29 to separate odd and even memory RAM banks as shown in Fig. 5 – see items 90a and 90b.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply 'correcting color images using tetrahedral' disclosed by Harrington and 'high speed interpolation circuit' disclosed by Wakasugi in combination with employing 'even and odd banks in separate RAM' disclosed by Loewenthal, and motivated to combine the

teachings because it would 'an interpolation circuit in which miniaturization of circuit scale and high speed processing are attained' as revealed by Wakasugi in col. 1, lns. 9-10.

B. Per independent claim 8, this is directed to an apparatus for performing the method of independent claim 1, and therefore is identically rejected to independent claim 1.

C. Claim 2, "The method according to claim 1 wherein each pixel has N color components" is disclosed, supra for claim 1, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Wakasugi in col. 1, lns. 17-19 and col. 5, lns. 26-55.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply 'correcting color images using tetrahedral' disclosed by Harrington and 'N color components' disclosed by Wakasugi in combination with employing 'even and odd banks in separate RAM' disclosed by Loewenthal, and motivated to combine the teachings because it would 'an interpolation circuit in which miniaturization of circuit scale and high speed processing are attained' as revealed by Wakasugi in col. 1, lns. 9-10.

D. Claim 3, "The method according to claim 2 wherein each color component allocates bits for indexing into the conversion table" is disclosed, supra for claim 2, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Wakasugi in col. 7, lns. 27-65

E. Claim 4, "The method according to claim 2 wherein each color component allocates bits for interpolation" is disclosed, supra for claim 2, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Wakasugi in col. 7, lns. 27-65.

F. Claim 5, "The method according to claim 1 wherein the set of output values are capable of being simultaneously accessed from the RAMS" is disclosed, supra for claim 1, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Wakasugi in col. 8, ln. 57 – col. 9, ln. 13.

G. Claim 6, "The method according to claim 1 wherein the input image is in the RGB color space" is disclosed, supra for claim 1, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Harrington in col. 6, ln. 46 – col. 9, ln. 31.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply 'correcting color images using tetrahedral' and 'RGB' disclosed by Harrington and 'high speed interpolation circuit' disclosed by Wakasugi in combination with employing 'even and odd banks in separate RAM' disclosed by Loewenthal, and motivated to combine the teachings because it would 'an interpolation circuit in which miniaturization of circuit scale and high speed processing are attained' as revealed by Wakasugi in col. 1, lns. 9-10.

H. Claim 7, "The method according to claim 1 wherein the output image is in the CMYK color space" is disclosed, supra for claim 1, by Harrington, Wakasugi, Loewenthal and furthermore by Harrington in col. 6, ln. 46 – col. 9, ln. 31.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply 'correcting color images using tetrahedral' and 'CMYK' disclosed by Harrington and 'high speed interpolation circuit' disclosed by Wakasugi in combination with employing 'even and odd banks in separate RAM' disclosed by Loewenthal, and motivated to combine the teachings because it would 'an interpolation circuit in which miniaturization of circuit scale and high speed processing are attained' as revealed by Wakasugi in col. 1, lns. 9-10.

J. Per dependent claims 9-14, these are directed to an apparatus for performing the method of dependent claims 2-7, and therefore are identically rejected to dependent claims 2-7.

Responses

7. Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory F. Cunningham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7784.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

On July 15, 2005, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and **571-273-8300** will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.F. Cunningham

Gregory F. Cunningham
Examiner
Art Unit 2676

gfc

6/24/2005

Matthew C. Bella

MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600